G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1895

~~191~~ .

(mural)

Later references.

File No. 7

Serial Nos. 4

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 46.

~~Part~~ B for 1897.

SUBJECT.

The question of granting the Southern
Part-ditch of Nagamangala to ~~the~~ Vasa
& Banavara.

Order

The Petn, certain inhabitants of Uswa Nagenangala, complain against the grant to Basavanna and Dasappa of portions of the Tank foot-ditch.

The grant to Dasappa may be dismissed in a few words, as the Dy Comr., after enquiry, has ordered the resumption of the site granted to him.

//

Basavanna applied in August 1893 for permission to fill up a portion of the ditch and to convert it into a flower garden and tope, for the benefit of the public. The open was granted to him in November 1893, on execution of a muchalika.

The present petn. made several complaints subsequent to the date of the grant. The result of local enquiries, amongst others by an Asst Comr deputed by the Dy Comr, sufficiently shows that the complaints to be perfectly groundless and due merely to ill-will.

There is no ground whatever for interference.

A draft is submitted; also previous correspondence.

17/9/97.

Please see my note under the Orders.

5/12/97

Precis of the records of the case connected with the grant of the Fort ditch at Nagamangala for making a tope and forming a flower garden thereon

---

Archak Basavaniya's petition to French Rocks Sub-Dn officer 27 August 1893 (serial no. 1 in the file)

1 One Basavaniya Archak of Veerabhadra temple at Nagamangala, presented a petition to the Sub-Dn. officer at French Rocks (Mr. Panchanatha Row), representing that, when Mr. Madhava Row inspected the Fort ditch at Nagamangala, he had permitted him (petr.) to fill in the ditch to the extent of 160 × 40 sq. yds. and to plant trees and raise flower plants thereon that he accordingly raised them, but that some

muschievous

mis-chievous people of the village, regarding it as an obstruction to their cattle & destroyed the plantation and deposited manure in the pits and began to stack hay on the spot. The petitioner stated that if he was put in possession of the same land, he would again undertake to fill in the ditch at his own cost, and raise a tope and flower garden thereon.

2 The Sub-Dn. officer ordered the grant of the ditch to petitioner, with an observation that sufficient space for the passage of carts &c should be reserved, and that the petitioner should be ordered to plant only fruit-trees, such as jack &

Order no. 115 Dt 30-8-93 to Amildar of Nagamangala (Serial no. 1 in the file)

mango, within a reasonable time. The Sub-Dn officer further remarked that the raising of a tope on the ditch would be very useful to people and cattle

Muttchaleka executed Basavanya D/ 3-11-93. serial no 2)

3 A muttchaleka was executed by Basavanya before the Amildar on 3d Nov: 1893 to the following effect

In accordance with the orders of the Sub-Dn officer granting the ditch to me, I bind myself to fill in the ditch at my own cost within 9 months from this date and to raise a tope thereon planting such fruit-trees as jack, mango Nerale, Hippe &c. I further undertake to guard against

against the destruction
of plantation myself,
and promise to use
the land for the sole pur-
pose of raising a tope, and
not for carrying on cultivation
thereon."

4 On 6th Sept. 1893, one
Damodara Dasappa of
Nagamangala petitioned
the Sub-Dn officer, French
Rocks, stating that when
1890, he applied to the
Amildar for the grant
a portion of the fort
ditch behind his house
for planting fruit-trees
and flower plants as an
act of charity, he was not
given permission to do
so, and that as a portion

Damodara Dasappa
petition to French Rocks
Sub Dn officer Dy 6-9-
(serial no 3)

of the same ditch was lately given to Basavayya another portion adjoining it might also be given him on ~~of~~ similar conditions.

Order no 139 Dt 12-9-93 to the Amildar.

5 This petition was forwarded to the Amildar for disposal, or report if necessary.

Amildar's report no 890 Dt 16-1-94. (serial no 4)

6 The Amildar reports that the petitioner, in 1889 applied for a portion of the ditch, as stated by him in his petition, but that the then Sub Divl officer Mr. Madrah, on inspection of the site, refused its grant as being the Fort ditch; that as a protection

a ~~portion~~ part of the same ditch was granted to Basavanna, and as the petitioner applied for the adjoining portion for similar charitable purpose, it might also be granted if considered desirable

7 The Sub-Dy officer Mr Mahamad Ali inspected the ditch and stated, on 12th Feb: 1894, that there was no objection to its grant to the applicant, and ordered that the applicant might be put in possession of the portion of the ditch applied for after receiving a nominal price from him

Order no 918 Dy 12-2-94 to the Amildar (Serial no 5)

8 Accordingly, the Amildar on 2nd March

Amildar's shara Dy on the above order.

1894. received from the applicant Rs 10/-, and made over the ditch to him after fixing the boundaries as follows :—

East :— The portion of the ditch granted to Gude Basavanna

West :— Vicar waste and foot-path

North :— Fort

South :— Wet lands belonging to Mudligiri Setty and Dasappa, and a path 4 gds wide

(measurement :- 164 × 14 sq: gds. vide Amildar's report no 434 dy 5-10-94 serial no 23)

Postal receipts dy 5-1-94 + 8-1-94 ex 1893, and Amildar's dorzements no 265 + c 4-1-94 (Serial nos. 6/c + 6/d + c)

9 Thimme Gowda, Dasasetty and others of Nagamangala presented Darkhasto to the Amildar in Dec 93, praying for the grant of the portion of the ditch, (already given to Basavanya), for building houses thereon,

at one anna

The Amildar declined to grant their prayer on the ground that the portion applied for had already been given away to Basavaiya for the charitable purpose of raising a tope, and added that it did not form part of the village building site, being situate outside the village boundary

Petition not found that it is within the village boundary. Recd. to Dy Com to Supt.

10. Upon this the villagers petitioned the Dy Comr Mysore, and the Sub-Dn Office, French Rocks, praying for cancelment of the Amildar's order on the grounds below:—

Petition no 13246 Dy 5-7-94 to Dy Comr, + no 11641 Dy 28-6-94 to Sub-Dn Off (Serial no 6 &c)

(a) That the grant to them of the Fort ditch for building houses at one anna per sq: yard would

would realize a large amount of revenue to the Municipality, besides enlarging the town

(b) That a tope, if allowed to be raised thereon, would give shelter to thieves

(c) That, formerly, in about 1889-90, when an application was made by Anjaru Basavanna to the then Sub-Dr. Officer, Mr. Sivanmalachar for the same ditch, the petitioners objected, and that, whereupon, the Sub-Division officer, after personal inspection refused to grant it, but that the officials biased in favour of Basavanna, did not bring this fact to the notice of Mr. Panchanatha Row

when he issued orders granting the ditch

(d) That the ditch in question was included within the village building site, and marked as such in the survey plan during the survey settlement

Please see pencil area of plan.

(e) That there is no sufficient gomal land for the village; and that, in consequence, when Hirekeré tank is full, the villagers are obliged to graze their cattle in the North and South Fort ditch and the adjoining tabbe-lands

The petitioners prayed that the portions of the ditch granted to Basavanna and Damodara Basasetty might be resumed and given them

instead for building house

Commr.'s 1857 Dy 23-5-94. (Serial no 7)

11. The Dy: Commr Mr. Rangaswamy Iyengar after sending for the peon ordered that as the site under takkai belonged to the Kasbah town, the approval of the villagers and Municipal members was necessary for its disposal

Extract from the Pro: of the Nagamangala Muty: (Serial no 9)

12 The subject was accordingly placed before the Municipal meeting held on 19th June 1894; when the three non-official members Messrs Bhagavan Bhatta, Hullikere Narasimiah, and Channa Setty were of opinion that, by resuming the portions of the ditch granted to Basavaiya and Damodara Vasa Setty, and by

as well as the whole of the remaining portion to the villagers by public auction on condition of building houses thereon, the town would be improved and the revenue of the Municipality increased. But the Hospital Asstt. expressed that it was undesirable to take back the land already granted by the former Sub-Div. officer He, however, approved of the suggestion of the other members in regard to the ~~grant~~ sale of the remaining portion of the ditch to the villagers for building purposes The Amildar concurred in the opinion of the Hospital Assistant

Dy officer's report-no 163 Dy 31-7-94, + deposition of Javaré Gowda.
(Serial no 15)

13. The Sub-Dy officer, Mr Narayana Row, in reporting the above facts observes that as Javaré Gowda, the leading membe of the petitioners, deposed before him that they would build 14 houses on the ditch including his own, the re-maining portion of the ditch-land now available cannot be said to be insufficient for that purpose; that the extent granted to Basavaiya being small and capable of holding about 30 trees, and being closely attached to the Veerabhadra temple its grant to him on condition of planting only jack and Mango trees thereon, does in no way inconvenience the

villagers, but that, on the contrary, the tope will be kept clean and afford shelter to the people gathering during jatres As regards the portion granted to Damodara-Dasappa, he considers its resumption desirable on the ground that it is situate behind Dasap-pa's own house, and that he obtained it for a nominal price under pretence of planting a tope. He further suggests that this portion may be sold by auction to those who wish to build houses thereon.

14 She by Comr. Mr. Rangaswamy Iyengar

Dy: Comr's yadi no. 45c
Dy 3-11-94.
(Serial no. 31)

instituted inquiries in
presence of petitioners
and Pujari Basavaraja
and Damodara Basa-
setty. The petitioners
while repeating their
requests, stated that two
of their houses lay between
the temple and the land
given to Basavaraja, and
that, therefore, the grant
thereof to Basavaraja
would put them to severe
inconvenience They
prayed that the land
might be given them at
one anna per sq: yd and
offered to deposit Rs 500/
binding themselves to
build houses on the site
within 6 months The
by Comr preferring ~~Considering~~ it
better to issue final orders
after

after inspecting the directed the petitioners to take back the amount, and requested the Sub-Dn officer to inspect the hut during jamabandy Settlement, and submit a report as to the inconvenience complained of ~~for grant~~ orders. As regards the portion given to Damodara Dasappa, the Dy Comr stated that he (D. Dasappa) has been told to agree to the resumption thereof

Original"

ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿಯವರು ಅಳತೆ ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಜಾಗವನ್ನು ಹೊರತುಪಡಿಸಿ ಉಳಿದ ಜಾಗವನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿಸಲು ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟವರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ."

15. The Asstt: Comr. Mr. K. R. Sreenivasa Iyengar who was deputed by the Sub-Dn officer for inspection of the site, reports that the two houses referred to by

Report of the Asstt: Comr of French Rocks no 29 Dy 8-1-96.

Serial no 42

by the petitioners are
situated not between
the ditch and the temple
as stated by the petitioner
but just in front of the
temple between it and
Dharmasala attached
it, that there is no comm
whatever between the
ditch in question and th
two houses, and that the
false
~~wrong~~ statement made by
the petitioners seems to
~~have arisen out of a~~
due to bad motive and "grid"
their part to deprive Ba
-sava-anna of the land
granted to him. He stat
further that besides the
two lands granted to
Basavanna and Damo
dara Basappa, there is
an additional extent of
the ditch

suffici-

ency. 30 or 40 houses on it; and that as by the growth of a tope, the villagers seem to be in fear of thieves taking shelter there, Basavanna may be directed to plant only flower and Thulasi plants on the land in question.

16 Mr. Venkata Varada Iyengar, the Dy Comr finally passed an order on 6th March 1896 to the effect that as the ditch in question, which the petitioners pray may be given them for building houses, had already been granted to Basavanna in 1893 by the Sub-Dn officer for the use of the temple, and as some of the Municipal members held that it

Dy Comr's final order No 1519 Dy 6-3-96 (Serial no 43)

it should not be resumed, he did not deem it proper to take back the land as prayed for by the petitioners. He ordered that the rest of the land might be sold by auction.

It is not clear whether as applicants land was or was not the reserved

17. Jawaré Gowda and others have now preferred an appeal to Govt against the order passed by the Dy Comr.

Appeal petition No 3341 Dy 28-3-96.

M.S
11-2-97

The precis prepared by Singla char is submitted for order.

DJ. 12

-X-
Very well written
C.

(1) The Petrs allege that the portion ordered to be resumed is still in Daseetys occupation. Is this a fact?

(2) Is the part detached portions of which seem to have been given away to Basavanna & Dasetty within municipal limits? If true that is outside the village boundary as stated by the Asst Commr in his letter of 4-1-94.

4/ Dacs

and what if
any assessment for the land
given to him, either by Govt or
to the Municipality?

Call for information on
the above points if it is not
forthcoming in the record - also call for a tracing from the Village map with the Hitch distinctly marked on it - (5)

A S cha 17/2/97 1572/197

draft rec at 4pm 23/2/97 Dvg

The information having
been called for the D/ Com
reports as follows: —

In regard to (1), it is stated
that the font dutch ordered to
be presumed is still in Damodara
Dasasetty's possession

Point 2 Municipal limits
are not defined, but the estate
village of Cragamangala within
which the dutch is situated is
reported to be included within
the municipal limits

Point 3 The dutch in
question is within the village
boundary and the authority under
which Tahsildar Endorsement of 4/1/94
it was based is not known.

Point 4 As the grant of the
lands is under correspondence, no
assessment was fixed thereon

Point 5) A tracing from
the village map is submitted
as called for.

Submitted (to See)
for orders

Dvg 7/6/97

Damodara Dasasetty

has not yet given up the land obtained by him in March 194 Basutoland Letter continues to be in occupation of the land given him in Nov 193 -
The Appellant who wishes to have considers the com plaint unreasonable
The Dy Comm has declined to cancel the grants made to Jan Louw as early as 1893 & 1894. These are other portions of the District available which could be allotted with building sites - The appellant does not therefore seem entitled to demand - that any arrangements be repealed

Cape Town 14th Dec 96

6/7/97

6465
I agree in the ...

Levy. The grants were
made some years ago
the grantees are in
prosperous — The Fort Thompson
Indians have nothing with
the order of the Colonel —
These complaints would
not have arisen if the
Officers who made the
grants had given Levy
no made when engineers
as to requirements of the
Indians and told
them after due notice to all

6/7/97

Copied and 8/7/97

was proof that the above officer ordered 26-10-94 ordered that Das Sette should dispossessed of the portion of the Estate and transferred him to Krishnarajpette the land Das Sette without paying to the above Lessee managed to get him transferred to Bellur Hobli only recently nominally as is seen as ordered by the Amildar. The portion of the Estate is still in his possession this has not been enquired into by the Dy. Comr.

That the official Municipal members are against the permanent cancellation of the grant of the Site to Basavanna. All the non official members are for the cancellation of the grant and allotment of the land to the public. The Dy. Comr. has not interfered this fact.

(6) That one of the Several Sub Division officers has paper written over granting the Site to Counter petitioner Basavanna. They have made orders to grant the land to the petitioner Amildar Lingaraje in pursuance of any Enquiry recommended the grant of the Site to Basavanna and Mr. Ramchandra Rao ordered Cancellation of it.

(7) That as soon as the petitioner came to know of the grant of the land to Counter petitioner they complained to the Dy. Comr. and the Divan - the Dy. Comr. heard the parties and the villagers and got a deposit of Rs. 500 from them - He then ordered cancelled the grant of the Site to Das & on 26-10-94 and ordered the land to be granted to petitioner. He also [illegible]

relating to complaint against planters
a copy on the site by Basavanna which were
referred to the Sub Division officer for
enquiry and report were lying undisposed.
Basavanna represented to Mr. Ramchandra
Row that a complaint had been made
against the grant of the site crossed by
him - the above officer deputed an
Asst. Commr. to investigate the matter -
the above officer without any investigation
conformed to the order previously passed
by Mr. Ramchandra Row. On this the
Sub Division officer addressed an Yadi
recommending the conformation
of the grant to Basavanna - On this
the Dy. Commr. without any enquiry granted
him the endorsement now appealed
against.
That the Officer who was last deputed
to investigate the matter did not record
their representation about the previous
order of the Dy. Commr. and the impropriety
of those sites for the Ryots purposes.
That the vacant sites referred by the Dy.
Commr. are backward sites which are
not fit for habitation for Kandayans - There are
no vacant sites belonging to Govt. Proper
enquiry has not been held in the matter
as to the valuation &c
That every extent of land is attached to
Veerabhadra's temple which was
not built by Basavanna which
belongs to Govt. There is a well on it
for the construction of which Madhavaiah
wanted free Govt. timber &c on the
condition that it should be used for

to the public - he has enclosed the
with a compound wall and is
the public from taking the water
the well for drinking purposes.

11 that Basavanna has undergone
punishments for disobeying the orders
of Govt on several occasions - he
is obstructing the Voters from going
to the temple to make their offerings
and is holding Rathotsavam in the
inner road against Mr. Mr. - he
has also put the Public to inconven-
-ience by planting a tope in the heart
of the town.

12 that Das Setty is encroaching upon
the Sites allotted to several Govt. temp
these matters have not been enquired
into

13 that the petrs. began to cope their
Talavar as soon as they learnt of the
grant of the Sites to Combri petrs.

14. that Mr. Panchanada Row was the
Sub Dist Officer at the time when the
Site was originally granted to Basavanna
He was also the Sub Dist Officer at the
time when the proceedings relating
to their complaint against the grant
was referred to the Sub Dist Officer
for Enquiry & Report Consequently
they do not obtain redress

That the Sale to Franks may be ordered to be effected by the Municipality

That all obstructions may be removed enabling them to present their offering in the Vorabhadras temple according to Manual -

That Kalotsavam may be ordered to be held in the Manual road -

That the permission granted to Basavanna to [illegible] beats & bells to his servants may be withdrawn since he is leaving people under his authority

May further that orders may be issued prohibiting the Committee from undertaking any work in connection with the ditch pending disposal of their appeal -

Yours

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಪ್ರಜಾಪ್ರತಿನಿಧಿ ಸಭೆಯ

1896ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯ ಆಗಸ್ಟ್ ತಿಂಗಳ ಜರ್ನಲ್

ಸಭೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳಿಂದ ಪ್ರಕಟಿತ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಪ್ರಿಂಟಿಂಗ್ ಮತ್ತು ಪಬ್ಲಿಷಿಂಗ್ ಕಂಪನಿ

ಪರಿವಿಡಿ

ಪುಟ

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರ ಭಾಷಣ ... 1

ಸಭೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕಲಾಪಗಳು ... 12

ಸಭೆಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಪಟ್ಟಿ ... 18

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರ ಭಾಷಣ

ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರೇ, ಈ ವರ್ಷದ ಸಭೆಯ ಕಲಾಪಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸುವ ಮೊದಲು ಕಳೆದ ವರ್ಷ ನಮ್ಮನ್ನಗಲಿದ ಇಬ್ಬರು ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕೆಲವು ಮಾತುಗಳನ್ನಾಡುವುದು ನನ್ನ ಕರ್ತವ್ಯವೆಂದು ನಾನು ಭಾವಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಮೊದಲನೆಯವರು ಶ್ರೀ ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ ಗೌಡರು. ಇವರು ಹಾಸನ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ಅರಸೀಕೆರೆ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನ ಪ್ರತಿನಿಧಿಯಾಗಿ ಸಭೆಗೆ ಆಯ್ಕೆಯಾಗಿದ್ದರು. ಎರಡನೆಯವರು ಶ್ರೀ ಸುಬ್ಬರಾಯರು. ಇವರು ಕೋಲಾರ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ಬಂಗಾರಪೇಟೆ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನ ಪ್ರತಿನಿಧಿಯಾಗಿ ಸಭೆಗೆ ಆಯ್ಕೆಯಾಗಿದ್ದರು. ಇಬ್ಬರೂ ಸಭೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕಲಾಪಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಶ್ರದ್ಧೆಯಿಂದ ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಿ ತಮ್ಮ ಕರ್ತವ್ಯವನ್ನು ನಿಷ್ಠೆಯಿಂದ ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸಿದರು. ಶ್ರೀ ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ ಗೌಡರು ಸಭೆಯ ಸಮಿತಿಯೊಂದರ ಸದಸ್ಯರಾಗಿಯೂ ಸೇವೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದರು. ಶ್ರೀ ಸುಬ್ಬರಾಯರು ಸಭೆಯ ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಾಗಿ ಆಯ್ಕೆಯಾಗಿದ್ದರು. ಇಬ್ಬರೂ ಅಲ್ಪಕಾಲದ ಅನಾರೋಗ್ಯದ ನಂತರ ಮರಣಹೊಂದಿದರು. ಅವರ ಅಗಲಿಕೆಯಿಂದ ಸಭೆಗೆ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಕುಟುಂಬಗಳಿಗೆ ಆದ ನಷ್ಟಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ನಾವೆಲ್ಲರೂ ವಿಷಾದ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಪಡಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಈ ವರ್ಷದ ಸಭೆಯ ಕಲಾಪಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸುವ ಮೊದಲು ಸಭೆಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಮಾತನ್ನು ಹೇಳಬೇಕೆಂದು ನಾನು ಬಯಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಸಭೆಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರಾಗಿ ಆಯ್ಕೆಯಾಗುವುದು ಒಂದು ಗೌರವ. ಆ ಗೌರವಕ್ಕೆ ತಕ್ಕಂತೆ ನಡೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದು ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಬ್ಬ ಸದಸ್ಯನ ಕರ್ತವ್ಯ. ಸಭೆಯ ಕಲಾಪಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾಗವಹಿಸುವಾಗ ಸಭ್ಯತೆಯ ಎಲ್ಲೆಯನ್ನು ಮೀರಬಾರದು. ಇತರ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಗಳಿಗೆ ಗೌರವ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು. ಭಿನ್ನಾಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಗಳಿದ್ದರೆ ಅವನ್ನು ಸಭ್ಯ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಪಡಿಸಬೇಕು. ಸಭೆಯ ಸಮಯವನ್ನು ವ್ಯರ್ಥಮಾಡಬಾರದು. ಸಭೆಯ ಕಲಾಪಗಳನ್ನು ಸುಗಮವಾಗಿ ನಡೆಸಿಕೊಡುವುದು ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರ ಕರ್ತವ್ಯ. ಆ ಕರ್ತವ್ಯವನ್ನು ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸಲು ಸದಸ್ಯರೆಲ್ಲರೂ ಸಹಕರಿಸಬೇಕು. ಸಭೆಯ ಕಲಾಪಗಳು ಸುಗಮವಾಗಿ ನಡೆಯಲು ಸಹಕರಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ನಾನು ಸದಸ್ಯರಲ್ಲಿ ಕೋರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಸಭೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸಿರುವ ಕಾರ್ಯಸೂಚಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮೊದಲನೆಯ ವಿಷಯ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೋತ್ತರಗಳು. ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಕೇಳಿರುವ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಲಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೇಳಲು ಮತ್ತು ಉತ್ತರಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲು ಸಭೆಯ ನಿಯಮಾವಳಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಅವಕಾಶವಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳು ಸಭ್ಯವಾಗಿರಬೇಕು. ಅನಗತ್ಯವಾಗಿರಬಾರದು. ಉತ್ತರಗಳು ಸಮರ್ಪಕವಾಗಿರಬೇಕು. ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದರೆ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕು. ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದರೆ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕು. ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದರೆ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕು.

ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 1 — ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಸಚಿವರು ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಲಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 2 — ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಸಚಿವರು ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಲಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 3 — ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಸಚಿವರು ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಲಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 4 — ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಸಚಿವರು ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಲಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 5 — ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಸಚಿವರು ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಲಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 6 — ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಸಚಿವರು ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಲಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 7 — ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಸಚಿವರು ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಲಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 8 — ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಸಚಿವರು ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಲಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 9 — ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಸಚಿವರು ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಲಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 10 — ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಸಚಿವರು ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಲಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ਕੈਲਾਸ਼ ਚੰਦਰ ਸ਼ਰਮਾ ਬਨਾਮ ਰਾਜਸਥਾਨ ਰਾਜ ਅਤੇ ਹੋਰ

ਸੁਪਰੀਮ ਕੋਰਟ ਨੇ ਕਿਹਾ ਕਿ ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਦੀ ਸਜ਼ਾ ਨੂੰ ਰੱਦ ਕਰਨ ਦਾ ਕੋਈ ਆਧਾਰ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ। ਅਪੀਲ ਖਾਰਜ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਂਦੀ ਹੈ।

ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಭಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರವರೆ, ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಆಸ್ಪತ್ರೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆರಿಗೆ ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ಬಡ ಮಹಿಳೆಯರಿಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಜನನಿ ಸುರಕ್ಷಾ ಯೋಜನೆಯಡಿ ಹಣ ನೀಡುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಈ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯಲು ಅವರು ಅನೇಕ ಕಷ್ಟಗಳನ್ನು ಅನುಭವಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ಯೋಜನೆಯಡಿ ಹಣ ಪಡೆಯಲು ಅವರು ಆಸ್ಪತ್ರೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆರಿಗೆಯಾದ ಒಂದು ವಾರದೊಳಗೆ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು. ಅರ್ಜಿಯೊಂದಿಗೆ ಆಸ್ಪತ್ರೆಯ ಬಿಲ್ಲು, ಮಗುವಿನ ಜನನ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಪತ್ರ, ಬ್ಯಾಂಕ್ ಪಾಸ್ ಪುಸ್ತಕ ಮತ್ತು ಪಡಿತರ ಚೀಟಿಯ ಪ್ರತಿಗಳನ್ನು ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಬೇಕು. ಆದರೆ ಬಹಳಷ್ಟು ಬಡ ಮಹಿಳೆಯರಿಗೆ ಈ ದಾಖಲೆಗಳನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಅವರಲ್ಲಿ ಬಹಳಷ್ಟು ಜನರು ಅನಕ್ಷರಸ್ಥರಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಭರ್ತಿ ಮಾಡಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ನಂತರ ಹಣ ಪಡೆಯಲು ಅವರು ಅನೇಕ ಬಾರಿ ಆಸ್ಪತ್ರೆಗೆ ಅಲೆದಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ಆಸ್ಪತ್ರೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆರಿಗೆಯಾದ ಒಂದು ವಾರದೊಳಗೆ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕೆಂಬ ನಿಯಮವಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅನೇಕ ಮಹಿಳೆಯರು ಈ ಯೋಜನೆಯಡಿ ಹಣ ಪಡೆಯಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ನಿಯಮವನ್ನು ಸಡಿಲಗೊಳಿಸಿ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಕನಿಷ್ಠ ಒಂದು ತಿಂಗಳ ಅವಕಾಶ ನೀಡಬೇಕು. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಅರ್ಜಿಯೊಂದಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕಾದ ದಾಖಲೆಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಕಡಿಮೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು.

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕೃಇಸ/ಕೃಯಂತ್ರ/ಟಿಪಿ/೨೦೨೧-೨೨/೧೨೩೪

ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆ

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಕೃಷಿ ಯಂತ್ರೋಪಕರಣ ಕೇಂದ್ರ

ಕೃಷಿ ಭವನ, ಹೊಸಪೇಟೆ

ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೧೪/೦೭/೨೦೨೧

ಟ್ರಾಕ್ಟರ್ ಚಾಲಿತ ಸಲಕರಣೆಗಳ ಖರೀದಿಗೆ ಸಹಾಯಧನ ಕೋರಿ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಆಹ್ವಾನ

ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ಆಸಕ್ತ ರೈತರಿಂದ ಟ್ರಾಕ್ಟರ್ ಚಾಲಿತ ಸಲಕರಣೆಗಳ ಖರೀದಿಗೆ ಸಹಾಯಧನ ಕೋರಿ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳನ್ನು ಆಹ್ವಾನಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಕೊನೆಯ ದಿನಾಂಕ ೩೧/೦೭/೨೦೨೧ ಆಗಿದೆ. ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ನಮೂನೆಯನ್ನು ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಕಚೇರಿ ಮತ್ತು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಕಚೇರಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಉಚಿತವಾಗಿ ಪಡೆಯಬಹುದು.

ಸಹಾಯಧನ ಪಡೆಯಲು ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಕನಿಷ್ಠ ೨ ಎಕರೆ ಜಮೀನು ಹೊಂದಿರಬೇಕು. ಪರಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ಜಾತಿ/ಪಂಗಡ ಮತ್ತು ಸಣ್ಣ ರೈತರಿಗೆ ಆದ್ಯತೆ ನೀಡಲಾಗುವುದು. ಒಂದು ಕುಟುಂಬದಿಂದ ಒಂದು ಸಲಕರಣೆಗೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ಸಹಾಯಧನ ಲಭ್ಯವಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಸಹಾಯಧನ ಪಡೆದಿರುವವರು ಮತ್ತೆ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಅರ್ಹರಲ್ಲ.

ಅರ್ಜಿಯೊಂದಿಗೆ ಆಧಾರ್ ಕಾರ್ಡ್, ಪಹಣಿ, ಬ್ಯಾಂಕ್ ಪಾಸ್‌ಬುಕ್ ನಕಲು ಮತ್ತು ಜಾತಿ ಪ್ರಮಾಣಪತ್ರ (ಅನ್ವಯವಾದರೆ) ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಬೇಕು. ಅಪೂರ್ಣ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಗಣಿಸಲಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆಯ್ಕೆಯಾದ ಫಲಾನುಭವಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ಸಂದರ್ಶನಕ್ಕೆ ಕರೆಯಲಾಗುವುದು.

ಆಯ್ಕೆಯಾದ ಫಲಾನುಭವಿಗಳು ಸಲಕರಣೆಯನ್ನು ಖರೀದಿಸಿದ ನಂತರ ಮೂಲ ಬಿಲ್ಲು ಮತ್ತು ಭಾವಚಿತ್ರವನ್ನು ಕಚೇರಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ನಂತರವೇ ಸಹಾಯಧನ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆಯಾಗುವುದು. ಸಹಾಯಧನ ಮೊತ್ತವು ಸಲಕರಣೆಯ ಒಟ್ಟು ವೆಚ್ಚದ ಶೇ. ೫೦ರಷ್ಟು ಅಥವಾ ಗರಿಷ್ಠ ₹ ೪೦,೦೦೦ ಮೀರಬಾರದು.

ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಮಾಹಿತಿಗಾಗಿ ಕಚೇರಿ ಕೆಲಸದ ದಿನಗಳಂದು ಕಚೇರಿ ವೇಳೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಪರ್ಕಿಸಬಹುದು. ದೂರವಾಣಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೦೮೩೯೪-೨೨೪೧೭.

ಜಂಟಿ ಕೃಷಿ ನಿರ್ದೇಶಕರು

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಕೃಷಿ ಯಂತ್ರೋಪಕರಣ ಕೇಂದ್ರ, ಹೊಸಪೇಟೆ

ਸਾਰੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਸਾਰੇ

ਦੇਸ਼ ਦੀ ਆਜ਼ਾਦੀ ਲਈ ਸ਼ਹੀਦ ਹੋਣ ਵਾਲੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਸ਼ਹੀਦਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਸਾਡੀ ਸ਼ਰਧਾਂਜਲੀ ਹੈ।

ਸਾਡੇ

ਸਾਰੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਸਾਰੇ

ਸਾਰੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਸਾਰੇ

ಸಹಕಾರಿ ಸಂಘಗಳ ಕಾಯ್ದೆ-೧೯೫೯ ಕಲಂ ೨೪(೧)ರ ಅಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಘವನ್ನು ನೋಂದಾಯಿಸಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ -

ಸಹಕಾರಿ ಸಂಘಗಳ ಕಾಯ್ದೆ-೧೯೫೯ ಕಲಂ ೨೪(೧)ರ ಅಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನೋಂದಾಯಿಸಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಘದ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಕಛೇರಿಯ ವಿಳಾಸ ಹಾಗೂ ಸಂಘದ ಕಾರ್ಯವ್ಯಾಪ್ತಿಯ ಪ್ರದೇಶವನ್ನು ಸೂಚಿಸಿ ಸಂಘದ ಉಪವಿಧಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಅಳವಡಿಸಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಘದ ಉಪವಿಧಿಗಳ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಿ ಸಂಘದ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಮತ್ತು ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಯವರು ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಘದ ಸದಸ್ಯರಾಗಲು ಅರ್ಹತೆ ಹೊಂದಿರುವ ಕನಿಷ್ಠ ಹತ್ತು ಜನ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಗಳು ಸಂಘದ ಸದಸ್ಯರಾಗ

ತಾ 7-3-96

ನಂ. ಸಿ

ಇಲಾಖೆ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ

ದಿನಾಂಕ 25/7/94

27627

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14

02/8/24.

4 ನಾಂಬಾಸ
1443 ನೆ

ನಕೆಲಿ

ಬಿಜಿಜೂ ಸು ದಿಕಾರ್ಕೆ ಕಿಜಿಲೆಂಲಿಲಿಲಿಂದಾ—

ಲಿಜಿಲಿದಾರ್ಕಿಗೆಲಿಲಿಂದಂತಾರುಲ್ಕಿಂ — — —

ಸೂಲ್ಕಿಗಿಕಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲಿಗೆಲ್ಲಿಂಲಿಲಿ ಜುಜಿಲಿಲಿಂ - ಕಿಲಿಲಿಗಿಕಿಸಲ್ಲಿಲಿ
ಲಿಲಿಜುಜಿಲಿಲಿ - ಲಿಲಿಂಸ ಕಿಲಿಕಿಲಿಲಿಂಲಿಲಿಲಿ ಲಿಲಿವಿಕಿಲಿಲಿಲಿಂಸಲಿಲಿಂಸಲಿಲಿಂಸಿಲಿಲಿ
ಲಿಲಿಂಸ ಸಿಲಿಲಿಲ

ਸਾਰੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਸਾਰੇ

No.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
L. B. Branch
~~PETITION DEPARTMENT.~~

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 3341 / L 2880
dated 30th March 1896 received in the
Dewan's Office from Jawa Gowda and
other of Nagamangala, Mysore District
appealing against the order of the
Deputy Commissioner regarding the grant
of the southern ditch of the Tank at Nagamangala and the table land to Basavanna & Dasa Setti
is forwarded, together with its
enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner of
the Mysore District for report
with records connected with the
case.

Redrafts 8/4/96 JHH.

Dated April 1896

For Chief Secy.

Dy 8/4/96

Draft

Sent No 2
[illegible] 7-95
Serial No 2

RJ 2717

11427 8/4/96
L7.272-93

Chief Petn with its enclosures.

1106
nclosures No. 2.
petitions with
3 Sr. Urdu vernacular
record.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore 15th January 1897.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

SIR,

With reference to your official memorandum No. 1142 / 14-27293 of the 9th April 1896, and No. 1802 / 14-27293 dated 4th August 1896, forwarding for report, with records, a petition from Javré Gowda and others of Kasba Ragamangala, regarding the grant of the southern ditch of the fort at Ragamangala and the table-land adjoining thereto, to Vasavanna and Vasa Setty, I have the honor to state as follows:—

2. On August 1893 one Vasavanna petitioned the Sub Division Officer of French Rocks that Mr. (Madhava Rao, the former Sub Division Officer, had given him permission to fill up a portion of the fort-ditch measuring 160 × 40 yards and to convert it into flower garden, and that some mischievous people of the village after destroying the trees so planted by him deposited manure on the ground, and requested that the vil-

be prevented from letting their cattle to graze in the land and that it might be formally made over to him for the purpose of planting trees. Mr Panchanatha Rao, the then Sub Division Officer, inspected the place and found that the filling up of the ditch and the planting of a tope thereon would be useful both to the people as well as to their cattle and directed the Amildar to permit Basavanna to do so. The necessary muchalika was then taken from him and he was put in charge of the portion of the ditch originally assigned to him.

3. In September 1893 one Damodar Dasappa petitioned the Sub Division Officer that he applied to the taluk in 1890 for the grant of a portion of the ditch for the purpose of making a flower garden, that the taluk authorities refused to grant the same and that he might be given a portion of the ditch on the same terms as were granted to Pujari Basavanna. Mr Mahomed Ali, the then Sub Division Officer, inspected the spot and directed, on 12th February 1894, that as there was no objection, the portion of the land applied for might be granted to him recovering a

nominal

nominal price for it. After paying a price of Rupees ten Damodar Dasappah was put in possession of the lands.

savanna was granted land in Nov 93 Sappha — March 94 Dasappa died in Dec 93 or 3 months before the grant to Dasappa but in Dasappa's name

4 Subsequent to the date of the above grant, i.e in March 1894 and thereafter, applications were made by the petitioners to the Taluk, the Sub Division Office, this Office, and to Government for the grant for building purposes of the portions already given out to Basavanna and Dasappa. The petitioners represented that the grant of the ditch to Basavanna and Dasappa had caused much inconvenience to their cattle, that they themselves had been left without sites for building houses, that Damodar Dasappa had blocked the passage that existed on the land in questions from a long time, and that the planting of a tope by Basavanna would only help to give shelter to thieves. The Sub Division Officer to whom the above representation was forwarded for report, stated in reply, on the 31st July 1894, that besides the portion given to Puyare Basavanna and

(Damodar

& Damodar Basappa there was suffi=
cient ground available for any who
wished to build houses, that the por=
tion given to Basavanna was at=
tached to the Veerabhadradevaru
temple, that the planting of a tope on it
would be of much use to the people as
well as to their cattle, especially at
the time of the annual jatras, that no
inconvenience was caused to the villagers
by the grant of the land to Pujari Ba=
savanna and that the land given to
Damodar Dasappa which is close
behind his own house might be re=
served as opined by three of the muni=
cipal members and sold to the public
for building houses. It was further
stated that the Hospital Assistant
and the Amildar were of opinion that
it was not proper to take back the
lands already given away, but that
the remaining portion of the ditch
could be made available for building
purposes.

5. The parties were thereupon summoned

and

and heard in this Office. The petitioners offered to pay one Anna per Square yard for the whole area and to build houses thereon within six months. They also complained that the owners of the two houses situated between the temple and the land given to Basavanna, were put to much inconveniences by its grant and that, therefore, the land should be resumed from him. Mr. Rangaswami Iyengar, the then Deputy Commissioner, directed, on the 3d November 1894, that Damodar Dasappa, who had obtained the land on the pretence of planting a tope, might be required to give up his land, and requested the Sub Division Officer to inspect the other land of Basavanna at the time of Jamabundy and to favor him with a report regarding the inconveniences

Complied with

Complained of by the petitioners
for final orders being passed in
the matter. Assistant Commissioner,
Mr. K. R. Sreenivasiengar in =
spected the land in January
1896 and reported that no incon =
venience had been caused by the
grant of the land in favor of Basa =
vanna to the owners of the two houses
referred to above. He added that
there were nearly three hundred vacant
building sites in the village, besides
which there was ground for 30 or 40
new houses being built in the remain =
ing portion of the fort ditch, and that
the complaint of the villagers was the
outcome of ~~Zid~~ feud between the parties as the
lands given to Basavanna lay between
the temples and its flower gardens, and as
he was maintaining the latter in good
condition, the Assistant Commissioner
thought that Basavanna's possession —
of it should not be disturbed.

6. In March 1896 Mr Venkata Vardiengar

Deputy Commissioner ordered that, as the land in question had been granted to (Basavanna) the Sub Divn.o Officer, for temple purposes prior the petitioner's application for the same, and the Amildar, the Hospital Asstt. and some of the other Municipal members were against it resumption, the land could not be taken back from him and given to petitioner as prayed for, but that the remaining portion of the fort-ditch might be given out on sale for building purposes.

7. The petitioner's present representation to Government is an appeal against the above order.

8. The petitioner's Memo received with the Memoranda under reply and the Vernacular file of Connected papers are herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most Obedt Servant,

For (illegible)

Comptd
Wld

Dy to Commissioner (illegible) of the Division

Pet 1436
18 JAN. 97
DEWAN'S OFFICE

From The Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District.

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Revenue and General Departments.

Dated 15th January 1897.
Despatched
Received

To Mr Branch 9/1/97 2/3/97

Enclosures No. Petitions 295, 299 and 3345 with 8 Mr. dated Vernacular.

No. 1106 Records

Reporting on the petition from Javregowda and others of Kasba Nagamangala-Taluk regarding the grant of the Southern ditch of the Fort of Nagamangala and the table-land adjoining thereto, to Basavanna and others.

Petition Branch
8/1/97
Noted 9/1/97

Received & submitted
Mr 30/1
Mr Secy 5/2/97

Read:-

Letter no 1106 dated 15- January 1897 from the Dy. Comr. of the Mysore Dist submitting this report on the petition from Javare Gowda and others of Kasaba Nagamangala complaining against the granting the grant of the Southern Fort-ditch at Nagamangala and the adjoining table land to Basavanna and Damodara Dasasetty.

called for in O.M. dtd 11427/27293 and 102/293 of 9th April and 4th August 896 respectively

RL 1619 9793 L.274-96 12/3/97

to one file of V. Papers

Order thereon

Before passing final orders on the above the Dy Comr is requested to report (1) whether the portion of the Fort-ditch ordered to be resumed is still in Damodara Dasasetty's possession

possession as alleg
petitioners; (2) whether the Govt
ditch, portions of which seem
to have been given away to
Basavanna and Dasa-
setty, within Municipal
limits; (3) whether it is true
that it is outside the
village boundary as stated
by the Amildar in his en-
dorsement No 265 Dy 4-1-94;
and (4) whether Basavanna
pays any assessment for
the land given to him, either
to Govt or to the Munici-
pality, and if so, what
amount. He is further
requested to submit a
tracing from the village
map with the ditch in
question distinctly marked
it.

For Secy

To
The Dy Comr
Bijapur

DEWAN'S

From The Deputy Commrs
Mysore District

To The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore,
Revenue and General Depts

Dated 16 June 1897.

Despatched

Received

General No. 1870
No.

Enclosure No. Tracing and
vernacular
papers.

Making a further report on the
petition of Gavare Gowda and others :
of Nagamangala.

Peta
25 5

A S Chary 25/6/97

5. As the grant of the lands in question was under correspondence, no assessment was fixed thereon as payable to the municipality or to Government

6. A tracing from the village map is submitted as required.

7. The vernacular papers received with the ord under reply are herewith resubmitted.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obdt. servant

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Compd 1888

Encl. 6

7-95
6

In reply to Letter No. 299 dated 30th March 1876
dated 13th July 1876 and No. 299 dated 13th July 1876
complaining of the grant of portions
of the southern foot-ditch at Wattarawa
to Basawanna and ~~[illegible]~~ Dharo
Dassetti, ~~[illegible]~~ Jaarayguda
and others of Nagamangalam
are informed that the grants
were made some years ago, ~~[illegible]~~
~~[illegible]~~ since which time the grantees
have been in possession, and
that the Govt. must therefore
decline to interfere with the order
of the Dy Commr

By order

Seer

R L 129

713
Lt-274-96 8/6/7/97

R L 130

714
Lt 274-96 9/6/7/97

Copy forwarded to the Dy Commr,
Nagapatam, with reference to
Correspondence ending with his Letter
No. 10 dy
Observation that these complaints
would not have arisen if the Officer
who made the grants had, prior to
doing so, made proper enquiries as
to the requirements of the villagers and
sold the sites after due notice to all.
The enclosures are returned

Seer
11-7-97

10/7/97-

I have not traced any
file of P. Papers to D.?